

THE DAILY DEMOCRAT,

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED BY RNEY, HUGHES & CO.,

THIRD STREET,

side, between Market and Jefferson streets.

NOTICE.—All advertisements of Public Meetings, Masonic, Odd Fellows, &c., are charged fifty cents, and twenty-five cents a subsequent insertion.

ADVERTISEMENTS OF SITUATIONS, WANTED, RECRUITING, DIVORCE, &c., and of Obituary Notices, of five lines, are charged twenty-five cents each insertion.

ADVERTISEMENTS OF ADVERTISEMENTS, of any kind, in the Evening Edition at half price.

ALL TRANSACTIONS PAYABLE IN ADVANCE.

FRIDAY, - SEPTEMBER 21, 1855.

The Testimony Complete!

TRUE HISTORY

of the Election Riots!!

We shall publish in our Weekly Democrat to-morrow, all the certificates and statements as to the riot on election day, August 6th.

The testimony is complete. There has been much inquiry for the evidence, in some suitable to preserve for future use, when the Know-Nothing party will blish to own that it was instrumental in causing the murder of defences men and children in Louisville.

We have an edition of seven thousand copies of our regular edition, with the authenticated statements. Individuals, counties, clubs, or associations supplied in numbers to suit. Single copies, five cents.

FIVE DOLLARS PER HUNDRED COPIES. Orders promptly filled.

DEMOCRATIC ANTI-KNOW-NOTHING MEETING.

We are requested to call a meeting of the Anti-Know-Nothing party at the court-house, on Monday evening, September 24, at early gate-light, for the purpose of appointing delegates to attend the Convention to be held in Lexington, Ky., on the 5th of October next. It is highly important that there be a general attendance. Louisville should have a full delegation at the Convention. Let our friends turn out in numbers—let there be a full attendance, as it should be ascertained who will certainly go; for now is the time, especially, for every true friend of our Republicans Union to stand forward for the post of duty.

Mr. S. J. J. Ronald, the President of the Sag-Night Committee, takes a wide range. He enters into a long argument based upon his opinions. He does not attempt to speak for his followers. According to his own statement, Tansey had at least three other Sag-Nights with him, heard some one say, "We'll take Tansey down," and endeavours to make out that this was the attack upon him. But Mr. Ronald, if he had observed at all this, would have learned that Tansey was not in the door, and, flourishing a pistol in his hand, used the expression above. He does not say that the portion of his well-armed squad of fifty-seven that happened to be with him, were driven out of the door.

What an absurd falsehood this is. We accidentally omitted the testimony of Mr. David Wright on Tuesday morning. It appeared in the Times of that date, however, and in the evening edition of the Democrat of the same date. We here repeat:

Statement of David Wright, of the firm of Wright & Bridgerton.

I went to the court-house on Monday morning, and the door about half-past eight o'clock. I was there, I think, nearly an hour, trying to get in. There was a number of men in the eastern door of the court-house, saying, "Tansey." One of them who came in knocked down an Irishman who was near the door, and, flourishing a pistol in his hand, used the expression above. Both men were driven out of the door, and from the platform. They then made a rush at Tansey and Fencoy, two Irishmen that were in the west end of the court-house, the crowd pursuing and shouting. Both Tansey and Fencoy were quite unharmed. Neither of them was left lying in any way.

DAVID WRIGHT.

This is a full confirmation of the testimony of Mr. Ronald.

The reader will notice the cool impudence of the editor of the Journal. It is characteristic of his party. It is noticed that Tansey had three with him, or rather there were three Sag-Nights with him, in the impudent style of the editor of the Journal. It was an outrage, in the estimation of Prentiss, Bloot, Whisky Barrel & Co., that there should be three men at the polls along with Tansey, who is an American citizen, and a far better man than the editor of the Journal.

We have the testimony of Wright, as well as that of Ronald, that Tansey was doing nothing but peaceably exercising the rights of an American citizen, when the mob of miscreants attacked him.

We have understood that Tansey did vote afterwards, and the editor of the Journal has a yarn about his saying that he had fifty-seven voters who were armed. There is no truth in the story, perhaps; but what if there was? If fifty legal voters—American citizens, knew that they had to exercise their right in the face of a mob, and had come to the polls armed, and cleared them of the swarms of lawless vagabonds, who were tramping under foot the laws and Constitution of the State, who would have blamed them except the impudent defender of mob law and his unprincipled associates? Truly, public sentiment has come to a pretty pass when lawless and secretly-armed scoundrels are to beat, abuse, and murder with impunity, and it is a high crime to talk of resisting them! We invite the law-abiding people of Kentucky to reflect upon the insolent tone of the Know-Nothing organ, and the impudent defiance set up.

The editor of the Journal can do his Northern better service than to mislead and divide the South, proclaiming them friends of the South about the bugbear of the Pope and foreigners, whilst he is handed over in broken fragments to a determined Northern united majority.

In this course the editor of the Journal has been all his life consistent. He opposed the annexation of Texas, as his party North desired. He would denounce the Abolitionists of his political associates North, when the case was plain, and denounce and mislead the South, he daily asserts the Heaven-defying falsehood, that the Know-Nothing North are national.

It is not enough that these Northern Know-Nothings have united with the Abolitionists in filling both houses of Congress with Abolitionists; it is not enough that the delegates from twelve or thirteen free States left a Grand Council and denounced the national platform; not enough that every State Council of the free States has unanimously ratified the conduct of the preceding delegates; not enough that these Know-Nothings and Abolitionists are now united in political action in nearly all the free States!

In spite of all this, the editor of the Journal advocates the cause of these Northern Know-Nothings, and assures his readers that they are friends of the South, against men whose past history and present action show them to be the friends of the Constitution and the steadfast enemies of sectionalism. What would you think of a guide who would lead a detachment into the camp of the enemy instead of that of its friends, in spite of the plainest signals?

The editor of the Journal can do his Northern better service than to mislead and divide the South, proclaiming them friends of the South about the bugbear of the Pope and foreigners, whilst he is handed over in broken fragments to a determined Northern united majority.

In this course the editor of the Journal has been all his life consistent. He opposed the annexation of Texas, as his party North desired. He would denounce the Abolitionists of his political associates North, when the case was plain, and denounce and mislead the South, he daily asserts the Heaven-defying falsehood, that the Know-Nothing North are national.

It is not enough that these Northern Know-Nothings have united with the Abolitionists in filling both houses of Congress with Abolitionists; it is not enough that the delegates from twelve or thirteen free States left a Grand Council and denounced the national platform; not enough that every State Council of the free States has unanimously ratified the conduct of the preceding delegates; not enough that these Know-Nothings and Abolitionists are now united in political action in nearly all the free States!

In spite of all this, the editor of the Journal advocates the cause of these Northern Know-Nothings, and assures his readers that they are friends of the South, against men whose past history and present action show them to be the friends of the Constitution and the steadfast enemies of sectionalism. What would you think of a guide who would lead a detachment into the camp of the enemy instead of that of its friends, in spite of the plainest signals?

The editor of the Journal can do his Northern better service than to mislead and divide the South, proclaiming them friends of the South about the bugbear of the Pope and foreigners, whilst he is handed over in broken fragments to a determined Northern united majority.

In this course the editor of the Journal has been all his life consistent. He opposed the annexation of Texas, as his party North desired. He would denounce the Abolitionists of his political associates North, when the case was plain, and denounce and mislead the South, he daily asserts the Heaven-defying falsehood, that the Know-Nothing North are national.

It is not enough that these Northern Know-Nothings have united with the Abolitionists in filling both houses of Congress with Abolitionists; it is not enough that the delegates from twelve or thirteen free States left a Grand Council and denounced the national platform; not enough that every State Council of the free States has unanimously ratified the conduct of the preceding delegates; not enough that these Know-Nothings and Abolitionists are now united in political action in nearly all the free States!

In spite of all this, the editor of the Journal advocates the cause of these Northern Know-Nothings, and assures his readers that they are friends of the South, against men whose past history and present action show them to be the friends of the Constitution and the steadfast enemies of sectionalism. What would you think of a guide who would lead a detachment into the camp of the enemy instead of that of its friends, in spite of the plainest signals?

The editor of the Journal can do his Northern better service than to mislead and divide the South, proclaiming them friends of the South about the bugbear of the Pope and foreigners, whilst he is handed over in broken fragments to a determined Northern united majority.

In this course the editor of the Journal has been all his life consistent. He opposed the annexation of Texas, as his party North desired. He would denounce the Abolitionists of his political associates North, when the case was plain, and denounce and mislead the South, he daily asserts the Heaven-defying falsehood, that the Know-Nothing North are national.

It is not enough that these Northern Know-Nothings have united with the Abolitionists in filling both houses of Congress with Abolitionists; it is not enough that the delegates from twelve or thirteen free States left a Grand Council and denounced the national platform; not enough that every State Council of the free States has unanimously ratified the conduct of the preceding delegates; not enough that these Know-Nothings and Abolitionists are now united in political action in nearly all the free States!

In spite of all this, the editor of the Journal advocates the cause of these Northern Know-Nothings, and assures his readers that they are friends of the South, against men whose past history and present action show them to be the friends of the Constitution and the steadfast enemies of sectionalism. What would you think of a guide who would lead a detachment into the camp of the enemy instead of that of its friends, in spite of the plainest signals?

The editor of the Journal can do his Northern better service than to mislead and divide the South, proclaiming them friends of the South about the bugbear of the Pope and foreigners, whilst he is handed over in broken fragments to a determined Northern united majority.

In this course the editor of the Journal has been all his life consistent. He opposed the annexation of Texas, as his party North desired. He would denounce the Abolitionists of his political associates North, when the case was plain, and denounce and mislead the South, he daily asserts the Heaven-defying falsehood, that the Know-Nothing North are national.

It is not enough that these Northern Know-Nothings have united with the Abolitionists in filling both houses of Congress with Abolitionists; it is not enough that the delegates from twelve or thirteen free States left a Grand Council and denounced the national platform; not enough that every State Council of the free States has unanimously ratified the conduct of the preceding delegates; not enough that these Know-Nothings and Abolitionists are now united in political action in nearly all the free States!

In spite of all this, the editor of the Journal advocates the cause of these Northern Know-Nothings, and assures his readers that they are friends of the South, against men whose past history and present action show them to be the friends of the Constitution and the steadfast enemies of sectionalism. What would you think of a guide who would lead a detachment into the camp of the enemy instead of that of its friends, in spite of the plainest signals?

The editor of the Journal can do his Northern better service than to mislead and divide the South, proclaiming them friends of the South about the bugbear of the Pope and foreigners, whilst he is handed over in broken fragments to a determined Northern united majority.

In this course the editor of the Journal has been all his life consistent. He opposed the annexation of Texas, as his party North desired. He would denounce the Abolitionists of his political associates North, when the case was plain, and denounce and mislead the South, he daily asserts the Heaven-defying falsehood, that the Know-Nothing North are national.

It is not enough that these Northern Know-Nothings have united with the Abolitionists in filling both houses of Congress with Abolitionists; it is not enough that the delegates from twelve or thirteen free States left a Grand Council and denounced the national platform; not enough that every State Council of the free States has unanimously ratified the conduct of the preceding delegates; not enough that these Know-Nothings and Abolitionists are now united in political action in nearly all the free States!

In spite of all this, the editor of the Journal advocates the cause of these Northern Know-Nothings, and assures his readers that they are friends of the South, against men whose past history and present action show them to be the friends of the Constitution and the steadfast enemies of sectionalism. What would you think of a guide who would lead a detachment into the camp of the enemy instead of that of its friends, in spite of the plainest signals?

The editor of the Journal can do his Northern better service than to mislead and divide the South, proclaiming them friends of the South about the bugbear of the Pope and foreigners, whilst he is handed over in broken fragments to a determined Northern united majority.

In this course the editor of the Journal has been all his life consistent. He opposed the annexation of Texas, as his party North desired. He would denounce the Abolitionists of his political associates North, when the case was plain, and denounce and mislead the South, he daily asserts the Heaven-defying falsehood, that the Know-Nothing North are national.

It is not enough that these Northern Know-Nothings have united with the Abolitionists in filling both houses of Congress with Abolitionists; it is not enough that the delegates from twelve or thirteen free States left a Grand Council and denounced the national platform; not enough that every State Council of the free States has unanimously ratified the conduct of the preceding delegates; not enough that these Know-Nothings and Abolitionists are now united in political action in nearly all the free States!

In spite of all this, the editor of the Journal advocates the cause of these Northern Know-Nothings, and assures his readers that they are friends of the South, against men whose past history and present action show them to be the friends of the Constitution and the steadfast enemies of sectionalism. What would you think of a guide who would lead a detachment into the camp of the enemy instead of that of its friends, in spite of the plainest signals?

The editor of the Journal can do his Northern better service than to mislead and divide the South, proclaiming them friends of the South about the bugbear of the Pope and foreigners, whilst he is handed over in broken fragments to a determined Northern united majority.

In this course the editor of the Journal has been all his life consistent. He opposed the annexation of Texas, as his party North desired. He would denounce the Abolitionists of his political associates North, when the case was plain, and denounce and mislead the South, he daily asserts the Heaven-defying falsehood, that the Know-Nothing North are national.

It is not enough that these Northern Know-Nothings have united with the Abolitionists in filling both houses of Congress with Abolitionists; it is not enough that the delegates from twelve or thirteen free States left a Grand Council and denounced the national platform; not enough that every State Council of the free States has unanimously ratified the conduct of the preceding delegates; not enough that these Know-Nothings and Abolitionists are now united in political action in nearly all the free States!

In spite of all this, the editor of the Journal advocates the cause of these Northern Know-Nothings, and assures his readers that they are friends of the South, against men whose past history and present action show them to be the friends of the Constitution and the steadfast enemies of sectionalism. What would you think of a guide who would lead a detachment into the camp of the enemy instead of that of its friends, in spite of the plainest signals?

The editor of the Journal can do his Northern better service than to mislead and divide the South, proclaiming them friends of the South about the bugbear of the Pope and foreigners, whilst he is handed over in broken fragments to a determined Northern united majority.

In this course the editor of the Journal has been all his life consistent. He opposed the annexation of Texas, as his party North desired. He would denounce the Abolitionists of his political associates North, when the case was plain, and denounce and mislead the South, he daily asserts the Heaven-defying falsehood, that the Know-Nothing North are national.

It is not enough that these Northern Know-Nothings have united with the Abolitionists in filling both houses of Congress with Abolitionists; it is not enough that the delegates from twelve or thirteen free States left a Grand Council and denounced the national platform; not enough that every State Council of the free States has unanimously ratified the conduct of the preceding delegates; not enough that these Know-Nothings and Abolitionists are now united in political action in nearly all the free States!

In spite of all this, the editor of the Journal advocates the cause of these Northern Know-Nothings, and assures his readers that they are friends of the South, against men whose past history and present action show them to be the friends of the Constitution and the steadfast enemies of sectionalism. What would you think of a guide who would lead a detachment into the camp of the enemy instead of that of its friends, in spite of the plainest signals?

The editor of the Journal can do his Northern better service than to mislead and divide the South, proclaiming them friends of the South about the bugbear of the Pope and foreigners, whilst he is handed over in broken fragments to a determined Northern united majority.

In this course the editor of the Journal has been all his life consistent. He opposed the annexation of Texas, as his party North desired. He would denounce the Abolitionists of his political associates North, when the case was plain, and denounce and mislead the South, he daily asserts the Heaven-defying falsehood, that the Know-Nothing North are national.

It is not enough that these Northern Know-Nothings have united with the Abolitionists in filling both houses of Congress with Abolitionists; it is not enough that the delegates from twelve or thirteen free States left a Grand Council and denounced the national platform; not enough that every State Council of the free States has unanimously ratified the conduct of the preceding delegates; not enough that these Know-Nothings and Abolitionists are now united in political action in nearly all the free States!

In spite of all this, the editor of the Journal advocates the cause of these Northern Know-Nothings, and assures his readers that they are friends of the South, against men whose past history and present action show them to be the friends of the Constitution and the steadfast enemies of sectionalism. What would you think of a guide who would lead a detachment into the camp of the enemy instead of that of its friends, in spite of the plainest signals?

The editor of the Journal can do his Northern better service than to mislead and divide the South, proclaiming them friends of the South about the bugbear of the Pope and foreigners, whilst he is handed over in broken fragments to a determined Northern united majority.

In this course the editor of the Journal has been all his life consistent. He opposed the annexation of Texas, as his party North desired. He would denounce the Abolitionists of his political associates North, when the case was plain, and denounce and mislead the South, he daily asserts the Heaven-defying falsehood, that the Know-Nothing North are national.

It is not enough that these Northern Know-Nothings have united with the Abolitionists in filling both houses of Congress with Abolitionists; it is not enough that the delegates from twelve or thirteen free States left a Grand Council and denounced the national platform; not enough that every State Council of the free States has unanimously ratified the conduct of the preceding delegates; not enough that these Know-Nothings and Abolitionists are now united in political action in nearly all the free States!

In spite of all this, the editor of the Journal advocates the cause of these Northern Know-Nothings, and assures his readers that they are friends of the South, against men whose past history and present action show them to be the friends of the Constitution and the steadfast enemies of sectionalism. What would you think of a guide who would lead a detachment into the camp of the enemy instead of that of its friends, in spite of the plainest signals?

The editor of the Journal can do his Northern better service than to mislead and divide the South, proclaiming them friends of the South about the bugbear of the Pope and foreigners, whilst he is handed over in broken fragments to a determined Northern united majority.

In this course the editor of the Journal has been all his life consistent. He opposed the annexation of Texas, as his party North desired. He would denounce the Abolitionists of his political associates North, when the case was plain, and denounce and mislead the South, he daily asserts the Heaven-defying falsehood, that the Know-Nothing North are national.

It is not enough that these Northern Know-Nothings have united with the Abolitionists in filling both houses of Congress with Abolitionists; it is not enough that the delegates from twelve or thirteen free States left a Grand Council and denounced the national platform; not enough that every State Council of the free States has unanimously ratified the conduct of the preceding delegates; not enough that these Know-Nothings and Abolitionists are now united in political action in nearly all the free States!

In spite of all this, the editor of the Journal advocates the cause of these Northern Know-Nothings, and assures his readers that they are friends of the South, against men whose past history and present action show them to be the friends of the Constitution and the steadfast enemies of sectionalism. What would you think of a guide who would lead a detachment into the camp of the enemy instead of that of its friends, in spite of the plainest signals?

The editor of the Journal can do his Northern better service than to mislead and divide the South, proclaiming them friends of the South about the bugbear of the Pope and foreigners, whilst he is handed over in broken fragments to a determined Northern united majority.

In this course the editor of the Journal has been all his life consistent. He opposed the annexation of Texas, as his party North desired. He would denounce the Abolitionists of his political associates North, when the case was plain, and denounce and mislead the South, he daily asserts the Heaven-defying falsehood, that the Know-Nothing North are national.

It is not enough that these Northern Know-Nothings have united with the Abolitionists in filling both houses of Congress with Abolitionists; it is not enough that the delegates from twelve or thirteen free States left a Grand Council and denounced the national platform; not enough that every State Council of the free States has unanimously ratified the conduct of the preceding delegates; not enough that these Know-Nothings and Abolitionists are now united in political action in nearly all the free States!

In spite of all this, the editor of the Journal advocates the cause of these Northern Know-Nothings, and assures his readers that they are friends of the South, against men whose past history and present action show them to be the friends of the Constitution and the steadfast enemies of sectionalism. What would you think of a guide who would lead a detachment into the camp of the enemy instead of that of its friends, in spite of the plainest signals?

The editor of the Journal can do his Northern

